

# Unit Test Recipes – Group 6

Daschiel Sabine, Kaineder Benjamin,  
Steindl Arnold, Quatember Marcus

# Inhalt

- ◆ Testing Design Patterns
- ◆ Examples: Common Problems and Recipes
- ◆ Testing J2EE Applications
- ◆ GSBase
- ◆ JUnit Add-ons

# Testing Design Patterns

Arnold Steindl

# Testing design patterns

## Introduction

- ◆ What tests are most appropriate for what patterns?
- ◆ Sets of tests to effectively test a special pattern.
- ◆ Some Patterns suggest certain test strategies but the opposite is true as well

# Testing design patterns

## Example patterns

- ◆ Observer (Event Listener)
  - Observable
- ◆ Singleton
  - Singleton Client
- ◆ Object Factory
- ◆ Template methode Implementation

# Observer Pattern

## Recipe

◆ Problem: Test of the event listener

◆ Background:

- Not coupled to the observable
- Can be tested in isolation
- Create a fake observer

◆ Solution:

- Invoke its event handler methods
- Make assertions what they do

# Observable (Event Source) Problem, Background

◆ Problem: To test an event source

◆ Background:

- Test an observable without the observer?
- Verify the event source without listening to the events of the source?
- No need of production event listener, any event listener will do

# Observable (Event Source)

## Test recipe

- ◆ Recreate conditions under which an event is generated
- ◆ Verify it notifies its listeners
- ◆ Test case class implements event listener
  - Collects events in a list
  - Verifies them against expected events



# Object Factory

## Problem, Background

### ◆ Problem

- Test the factory method

### ◆ Background

- Factory method: creation method which decides which class to instantiate
- Chooses between many subclasses of a class
- Factory: class that provides a factory method not just Creation methods

# Object Factory Recipe

- ◆ Test the two concrete behaviors of a factory method separately
  - Choose the creation method
  - Invoke the creation method correctly

# Test a template methods implementation

## ◆ Problem:

- Class that defines the method cannot be instantiated because the class is abstract

## ◆ Background:

- Created from classes in an existing class hierarchy
- Extracted when each subclass implements a method to perform the same primitive operation

# Common Problems and Recipes

Benjamin Kaineder

# Testing file-based applications without the file system

- ◆ Tests should be as independent as possible
  - clean up file-system between tests
  - often file name hard coded
  - relying on external resources?
- ◆ Next Problem: file-system asynchronous
  - can not be cleaned (no guarantee on time)
  - delay no problem to application use, but to sequenced tests

# Testing file-based applications without the file system - Recipe

- ◆ load/save methods should always have a parameter for file name
- ◆ Greater improvement: read data from streams
  - stream as parameter
  - can read/write network, string, file, ...
  - leads to flexible design
- ◆ Due to Java I/O framework, this change is not hard to implement

# Testing a method with no return value

- ◆ Problem: unchangeable legacy code which produces no observable side-effects (e.g. public variables, return value)
- ◆ Possible Solutions:
  - make private data visible through get-Method
  - rewrite the test to involve other production objects
  - bypass Java protection mechanism

# Testing a method with no return value - Recipe

- ◆ Create a subclass of *PrivateTestCase* using JUnitX
  - allows to execute non-public methods
  - gives access to non-public data
- ◆ The methods *get()*, *getInt()*, *getBoolean()*, etc. can be used to query private fields



# Testing J2EE Applications

Sabine Daschiel

# Test Page Flow in Struts applications

- ◆ verify correct navigation through the application without starting Struts
- ◆ verify content of struts-config.xml with XMLUnit

```
<action type="SomeAction" path="/Some">  
  <forward name="success" PATH="/some.jsp" />  
</action>
```

```
testSomeActionExists() throws Exception {  
  assertXPathExists("/struts-config/action-mappings  
    /action[@path='Some']",strutsConfigDoc);  
}
```

# Test for broken Links

- ◆ Verify, that all links lead somewhere
- ◆ recursive algorithm

1. WebClient.getPage()
2. if page is an HtmlPage, get all anchors and try to follow each
3. If you reach a page outside the domain, stopp
4. If anything goes wrong, identify the link as broken

- ◆ Tracking the checked URLs
- ◆ no mailtos and form-submissions

# Test Web Resource Security

- ◆ Verify, that web resources are protected
- ◆ no user, authorized user, non authorized user

```
webClient.getPage(...)
```

```
webClient.setCredentialProvider(new  
    SimpleCredentialProvider(„admin“, „admin“);
```

```
HttpServletResponse.SC_OK,  
HttpServletResponse.SC_FORBIDDEN
```

- ◆ Using declarative security → verify content of deployment descriptor using XMLUnit

# Test EJB resource security

- ◆ use Cactus, simulate user, try to invoke the protected EJB method

```
public void beginAdministrator(WebRequest request) {  
    request.setRedirectorName(„ServletRedirectorSecure“ );  
    request.setAuthentication(„admin“, „admin“);  
}
```

```
public testAdministrator() throws Exception{  
    EJBBean ejbean = home.create();  
    ejbean.someMethod(...);  
}
```

- ◆ using declarative security → verify content of deployment descriptor using XMLUnit

# Test Container Managed Transactions

- ◆ verify transaction attributes in the deployment descriptor
- ◆ are the settings what we think they should be?
- ◆ Test also the own deployment rules
- ◆ use XDoclet to generate the EJB deployment descriptor
- ◆ use XJavaDoc to parse the entity bean implementation class source files and make assertions for the *@ejb.transaction type* property
- ◆ gewährleisten

# GSBase and JUnit Add-ons

Marcus Quatember

# GSBase

<http://gsbase.sourceforge.net>



# GSBase – Introduction

- ◆ open source toolkit to ease
  - event- testing
  - testing the serialization mechanism of an object
  - testing of cloning
  - testing of objects without *equals()*

# GSBase – Events/Serialization

## ◆ Events:

- create object to monitor
- create *EventCatcher* and bind it to object (*listenTo()*)

Remarks:

- ◆ scan class interface (*add...Listener(...)*)
- ◆ register method / save in List

## ◆ Serialization (especially for RMI- feature):

- pass object to *TestUtil.testSerialization(Object, boolean)*

Remarks:

- ◆ serialization / deserialization without exception → success
- ◆ 2nd parameter controls consistency check against *equals()*

# GSBase – Cloning/AppearsEqual

## ◆ Cloning:

- pass object to `TestUtil.testClone(Object, boolean)`

Remarks:

- ◆ is there a public `clone()`? yes → success
- ◆ 2nd parameter controls consistency check against *equals()*

## ◆ AppearsEqual:

- pass object to *appearsEqual(Object)*

Remarks:

- ◆ scan class interface (*get...()* und *is...()*) / *call methods / check equality*
- ◆ interesting test for „valuebags“ (Events,...)

# JUnit- Addons

<http://junit-addons.sourceforge.net>

# JUnit- Addons – Introduction

- ◆ collection of helperclasses for Junit to ease
  - testing the *Comparable* interfaces
  - executing tests collectes in \*.jar files
  - easy system- property reading from files
  - „secure- cleanup“

# JUnit- Addons

## Comparable/\*.jar Archives/System Props

### ◆ Testing Comparable:

#### ■ TODO:

- ◆ write class that inherits from *CombarabilityTestCase*
- ◆ *overwrite createLessInstance()*    **\*\* return „abc“ \*\***
- ◆ *overwrite createEqualInstance()*    **\*\* return „abcd“ \*\***
- ◆ *overwrite createGreaterInstance()*    **\*\* return „abcde“\*\***

### ◆ Handling \*.jar Archive Tests:

#### ■ TODO:

- ◆ pass path of archive to *ArchiveSuiteBuilder.suite( String );*

### ◆ System Properties:

#### ■ TODO:

- ◆ announce file: % java -D PropertyManager.file=C:/sys.properties
- ◆ announce *PropertyManager* to project
- ◆ read properties with *PropertyManager.getProperty()*

# JUnit- Addons – „Secure CleanUp“

## ◆ Problem:

- no protection of *setUp()/tearDown()* in JUnit's *TestSetup.run()* to handle exceptions!

## ◆ Solution:

- *TestSetup.run()* of JUnit Addons
  - ◆ protects the *setUp()* Methode with *try{ }* against exceptions and calls *tearDown() finally{ }* to ensure a secure cleanup

The slide features a light blue grid background. A horizontal blue line spans the width of the slide, with a small circular ornament at its left end. Another horizontal blue line is positioned below the main text. A vertical blue line runs down the right side of the slide, with a small circular ornament at its bottom end. The text "Vielen Dank für die Aufmerksamkeit!" is centered in a bold, purple font.

**Vielen Dank für die Aufmerksamkeit!**